#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference AFG 16704-WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below					
International application No. PCT/EP2004/008885	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 August 2004 (07.08.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05 September 2003 (05.09.2003)					
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237							
Applicant AUTOFLUG GMBH							

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).							
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.							
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report						
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited						
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application						
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).							
		Date of issuance of this report 03 July 2006 (03.07.2006)						

Authorized officer

e-mail: ptl1@wipo.int

**Yolaine Cussac** 

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Translation From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY **PCT** WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION AFG 16704-WO See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/008885 07.08.2004 05.09.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60N2/24, B60N2/38, B64D25/06 AUTOFLUG GMBH This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	Ь.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itional comments:

Во	x No. II Priority						
1.	1. The following document has not yet been furnished:    Copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).    translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).    Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.						
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:						
]							

	No. V	Ressoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement					
	Novelt	ty (N) Claims 1-18	_ YES			
		Claims	_ NO			
	Inventi	ive step (IS) Claims 1-18	YES			
		Claims				
	Industr	rial applicability (IA) Claims 1-18	VES			
		Claims				
_						
2.		and explanations:				
	1.	The present opinion makes reference to the following .				
		documents:				
		D1: DE 33 06 839 A (AUTOFLUG GMBH) 6 September				
		1984 (1984-09-06)				
		D2: US 5 072 840 A (YOSHIO ASAKAWA ET AL.) 17				
		December 1991 (1991-12-17)				
	2.	2.1 D1 is considered the closest prior art. It				
		discloses (the references between parentheses apply				
		to this document):				
		a safety seat for land vehicles, aircraft or sea				
		vessels, comprising a harness which is suspended				
		from fixed points (32, 18, 19) of the vehicle and				
		can be put onto the vehicle occupant's body (10)				
		without fixed components and supports the vehicle				
		occupant, with fabric retaining belts (31, 18, 19)				
		leading from the harness to the fixed points of the				
	vehicle, from which the subject matter of independent claim 1					
		differs in that a belt retractor can place the				
		vehicle occupant who is supported in a harness as				
		per D1 in various working positions by means of				
		separately controllable belt retractors.				

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2.2 The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 3. The belt retractors satisfy the object of changing the position of the occupant in accordance with requirements.
  - 3.1 D2 discloses (the references between parentheses apply to this document):
  - a lifting device in order to place a disabled person from a reclining position into a standing or sitting position. This device comprises a fabric suspension mat (12) which is suspended from fixed points of the lifting device and holds the disabled person's body and can be put on without fixed components and supports the person, with fabric retaining belts (14, 15) leading from the fabric suspension mat (12) to belt retractors (45, 46) which are arranged at the fixed points of the lifting device and tension the attached retaining belts (14, 15) in the take-up direction in each case, and a selector device being provided which can be actuated by the occupant and by means of which the belt retractors (45, 46) can be selected in pairs. However, this prior art does not give any cause to suggest using a similar device for the occupant of a vehicle.
  - 3.2 Claim 1 is therefore considered as involving an inventive step.
- 4. Claims 2-18 are dependent on claim 1 and therefore likewise meet the PCT requirements for novelty and

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	inventive step.

		TIONAL SEA							04/000005
Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the inter	national ap	plication					
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:									
The c	iting o	f US490	9499,	cited	on	page	5,	paragraph	4,
descr	ibing a	"mail	singu	lating	app	parati	us"	must be	
corre	cted or	omitte	d.						
	-								
	•								
	•								
į									
1									

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008885

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Independent claim 1 has not been drafted in the two-part form defined by PCT Rule 6.3(b). However, in the present case the two-part form would appear to be appropriate. Accordingly, the features (D1) known in combination from the prior art belong in the preamble (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(i)) and the remaining features belong in the characterizing part (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(ii)).